



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark flice
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/615	.876 03/14/9	6OYA	T1 232-4253
			EXAMINER .
MORGAN	& FINNEGAN	LM02/0622	ODT. MOOTOUR
	RK AVENUE		ART UNIT TAPER NUMBER
NEW YO	RK NY 10154		2711 24
			DATE MAILED

06/22/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filled is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filled 112 22 97 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(t) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). d. They raise new issues of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise of deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's arguments without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's arguments would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.		
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee the date on which the response, the patition, and the ten have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of distinmining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(a) the calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.138(a). Appellant's response to the final rejection, filed 11 12 131 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.136(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's Applicant's Arguments With respect to the claims has been considered and appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims proposed drawing correction has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because where the represented. The proposed drawing correctio	⊠тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12.2.91 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and for specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.115(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's resp	a) 🔀	is extended to run 4 m + ths or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filled is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above. Applicant's response to the final rejection, filled 112 22 97 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(t) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). d. They raise new issues of new matter. (See Note). d. They raise of deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's arguments without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's arguments would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims objected to: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	ь) 🗀	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12 22 99 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance: The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:		purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
to place the application in condition for allowance: 1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because: a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.115(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims has been sensidered and appears to overcome the art of recerd. A new service was the pending claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because however. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	□ Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's argumats with respect to the claims has been search would be required for the pending claims. NOTE: Applicant's argumats with respect to the claims has been considered in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsidered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	⊠ Ap to	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12199 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:
presented. b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note). c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's aryumats with respect to the claims has been search and appears to overcome the art of recerd. A reconsidered and appears to overcome the pending claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims allowed: Claims rejected to: Claims rejected to: Claims rejected to: Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit or exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other	1. 🛛	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note). d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Appl. cant's arguments with respect to the claims has been considered but does not overcome the status of the claims will be as follows: 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.		
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's argumants with respect to the claims has been ensidered and appears to evercome the art of recerd. A new search would be required for the pending claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to:		b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
appeal. e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims has been considered and appears to exerceme the art of recerd. A new seem has been would be required for the pending claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:		c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
NOTE: Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims has been considered and appears to overcome the art of recerd. A new search would be required for the pending claims. 2. Newly proposed or amended claims		
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. 3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4 The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because		e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows: Claims allowed:		NOTE: Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims has been considered and appear to overcome the art of record. A niew search would be required for the pending claims.
be as follows: Claims allowed: Claims objected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other	2. 🗌	
Claims rejected to: Claims rejected: However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other	3. 🗌	
Claims rejected:		Claims allowed:
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other		
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because 5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other		•
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other		
presented. The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other	4. 🔲	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
Other Achive Andrews Archive A	5. 🗌	
Aicharta Leann	The	proposed drawing correction 🔲 has 🗋 has not been approved by the examiner.
	Othe	Active Company of the

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2700